

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UM/

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/618,587 07/15/2003 Chuji Ishikawa 240443US3 6880 22850 07/01/2004 **EXAMINER** 7590 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. VERBITSKY, GAIL KAPLAN 1940 DUKE STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 2859

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	<u>un</u>
Office Action Summary	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	70.0
	10/618,587	ISHIKAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gail Verbitsky	2859	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>1</u> MONTH(S) FROM			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisors of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-15	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	eceived.	
Attachment(s)	4\ \[ \begin{array}{c} \langle		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	ı
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/618,587 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-22, drawn to temperature detecting unit, classified in class 374, subclass 120.

II. Claim 23, drawn to fixing apparatus, classified in class 101.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as an opposite member, which forms a nip. Invention I has, for example, an air unit, not required by Invention II. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention I:
  - A) species directed to the object being a food (claim 21),
  - B) species directed to the object being an air in the room (claim 22),

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1 is generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,587 Page 3

Art Unit: 2859

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention I:

- A) species directed to the surface facing the object (claim 2),
- B) species directed to the surface facing the sensor (claim 3),

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1 is generic.

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention I:

A) species directed to the membrane being formed by coating a fluorocarbon resin (claim 5),

B) species directed to the membrane being formed by spreading oil with fluorine (claim 6),

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1 is generic.

- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention I:
  - A) species directed to the window directly facing the sensor (claim 7),
  - B) species directed to the window directly facing the object (claim 8).

Application/Control Number: 10/618,587

Art Unit: 2859

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1 is generic.

- 7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention I:
  - A) species directed to the fixing member being a fixing roller (claim 19),
  - B) species directed to the fixing member being a fixing belt (claim 20),

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 18 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Application/Control Number: 10/618,587

Art Unit: 2859

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited in the PTO-892 and not mentioned above disclose related devices and methods.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner Verbitsky who can be reached at (571) 272-2253 Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:00 ET.

**GKV** 

Gail Verbitsky

Primary Patent Examiner, TC 2800

Page 5

June 28, 2004